	Low fail	Fail	Pass	Low merit	High merit	Distinction	High Distinction
Structure and Presentation (Slides) • slides are well structured • figures and text are clear and understandable • contains appropriate amount of material, communicated efficiently in appropriate detail	<ul> <li>Numerous weaknesses in slide structure.</li> <li>Numerous weaknesses that consistently make information unclear or inefficiently presented.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Several weaknesses in slide structure.</li> <li>Several weaknesses that often make information unclear or inefficiently presented.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In most respects, slides are clear and appropriately structured.</li> <li>Some information is unclear or inefficiently presented.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clear slides with appropriate structure.</li> <li>Information clear and presented in an organised way.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clear and well- structured slides.</li> <li>Information presented in organised and logical order, with good choices made about how to present it.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clear and well- structured slides in which all sections of the slides work well together and a logical and coherent structure is apparent throughout.</li> <li>Data and other information are presented in a very clear and efficient way.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Excellently structured slides with excellent cohesion between the sections.</li> <li>Slides present a coherent and compelling piece of work, and would not look out of place at a national or international conference.</li> </ul>
Structure and Presentation (Verbal Presentation) • good speed/pace and timekeeping • presenter spent enough time on each section • clear explanation and appropriate language	<ul> <li>Very poor timekeeping and very unbalanced time spent on each section.</li> <li>Not presented appropriately (e.g. extremely unclear description of project) with no appropriate response to question.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Presenter went significantly over or under time; or, very unbalanced time spent on each section.</li> <li>Presented poorly (e.g. very unclear description of project), with poor response to question.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Presenter went slightly over or under time; or, unbalanced time spent on each section; or, pace far too fast or slow.</li> <li>Presentation is weak (e.g. somewhat unclear description of project), with question not responded to well.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Presenter kept to time but timing was somewhat unbalanced across sections; or, pace slightly too fast or slow.</li> <li>Satisfactory verbal presentation with occasional lapses in clarity during presentation and question.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Good timekeeping with only minor improvements needed to either balance across sections or pace.</li> <li>Good verbal presentation: clear description of project with only rare lapses in clarity during presentation and question.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Very good timekeeping, well balanced across sections, with very good pace.</li> <li>Very good verbal presentation: very clear description of project with very good response to question.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Excellent timekeeping, pace, and balance of time across sections.</li> <li>Excellently presented: exceptionally clear description of project, with excellent response to question.</li> </ul>